# Asymmetric Synthesis of Dihydronaphthoquinones Containing Adjacent Stereocenters via a Sulfa-Michael Addition Triggered Ring-Expansion Approach

Zhi-Peng Hu, Zhe Zhuang, and Wei-Wei Liao\*

Department of Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, 2699 Qianjin Street, Changchun 130012, China

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** A novel asymmetric synthetic approach for the construction of enantioenriched functionalized dihydroquinones incorporating adjacent quaternary and tertiary stereocenters has been reported, in which enantioenriched 3-allylic phthalides engaged in an unprecedented sulfa-Michael addition-triggered stereoselective ring-expansion reaction, and furnished the desired sulfur-incoporated dihydronaphthoquinones with high stereoselectivity.



Dihydronaphthoquinones are frequently occurring structural motifs spread across many biologically active natural products and pharmaceutical compounds,<sup>1</sup> in particular, the frameworks bearing adjacent stereocenters embodied in a range of biological relevant dihydronaphthoquinone compounds (Figure 1).<sup>1e-h</sup> Therefore, the availability of efficient methods for the



Figure 1. Bioactive molecules that incorporate a dihydronaphthoquinone framework bearing adjacent stereocenters.

asymmetric synthesis of structurally diverse dihydroquinones bearing adjacent stereocenters is highly attractive to the discovery of biologically interesting agents. However, to our best knowledge, the asymmetric approach for the preparation of enantioenriched functionalized dihydroquinones incorporating adjacent quaternary and tertiary stereocenters is unexploited.

Sulfa-Michael addition (SMA) is one of the most important C–S bond formations and has found wide application in organic synthesis and in biological processes, which provides a diversity of organosulfur compounds.<sup>2</sup> In particular, combining with appropriate reaction partners, SMA triggered tandem protocols demonstrated great potential in the rapid and efficient assembly of complex functionalized sulfur-incorporated compounds.<sup>2f,3</sup> Phthalides are frequently found in naturally occurring substances which exhibit a broad spectrum of



biological activities<sup>4</sup> and also act as useful building blocks in organic synthesis, particularly for the synthesis of functionalized naphthalenes, anthracenes, and naphthacene natural products via an annulation approach.<sup>5</sup> Nevertheless, these motifs have seldom been employed in an asymmetric cascade transformation to construct enantiomerically pure chiral compounds. Recently, we have developed a Lewis base catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation (AAA) reaction to access enantioenriched 3-allylic-3-cyano substituted phthalides, which underwent further intramolecular acylcyanation to provide enantioenriched densely functionalized nitriles bearing dihydronaphthoquinone moieties.<sup>6,7</sup> On the basis of these, we envision that a general tandem protocol in which enantioenriched 3-allylic phthalides containing a leaving group at the 3position would engage in an SMA triggered stereoselective ringexpansion reaction, and thereby furnish enantioenriched sulfurincoporated dihydronaphthoquinones possessing contiguous quaternary and tertiary stereocenters, could be established (Scheme 1), all of which would preserve the stereochemical integrity of the chiral allylic phthalides. Herein, we report our preliminary results.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To achieve this goal, two requirements need to be met: (1) devising appropriate 3-allylic phthalides containing a leaving group which can undergo further SMA triggered tandem sequences and (2) developing efficient asymmetric approaches to prepare enantioenriched 3-allylic phthalides bearing contiguous quaternary and tertiary stereocenters, and enabling SMA triggered tandem reaction stereoselectively. Keeping these in mind, we started the initial investigation to assess the feasibility of this tandem reaction by treating racemic 3-allylic

Received:March 5, 2015Published:April 14, 2015

## Scheme 1. Synthetic Strategy



LG: leaving group; Nu: sulfur nucleophiles; LB: Lewis base;

phthalides containing different leaving groups such as cyano, phenylsulfanyl, and phenylsulfinyl groups with lithium thiophenolate in situ generated from thiophenol and *n*-butyllithium at -78 °C in THF (Scheme 2). It turned out that the type of

#### Scheme 2. Initial Investigation



leaving group is crucial to the accomplishment of this transformation. 3-Allylic-3-cyano substituted phthalide 3a gave the desired product 4a in near quantitative yield with promising diastereoselectivity, while phthalides possessing phenylsulfanyl and phenylsulfinyl groups provided the desired product 4a in 14% yield.

With these preliminary results, the further studies were focused on the development of a catalytic enantioselective route to construct 3-allylic-3-cyano substituted phthalides bearing contiguous quaternary and tertiary stereocenters. Recently, Lu et al. reported an elegant work to prepare enantioenriched 3-allylic-3-carboxylate phthalides by utilizing a Lewis base catalyzed asymmetric AAA reaction of Morita-Baylis-Hillman (MBH) carbonates.<sup>8</sup> In a similar strategy, our group demonstrated a facile route to access enantioenriched 3allylic-3-cyano substituted phthalides bearing a single quaternary stereocenter.<sup>6</sup> However, an asymmetric catalytic approach to prepare the aforementioned motifs with both high enantioselectivities and diastereoselectivity has not been developed.9 Keeping these surveys in mind, our efforts were focused on screening effective catalysts and conditions for the enantioselective allylic alkylation reaction between 3-cyano phthalide 1 and substituted MBH carbonate 2 to afford 3,3disubstituted phthalide 3 (Table 1). A focused catalyst survey showed that a bifunctional phosphine catalyst was found to be superior in stereochemical control, which furnished the desired product 3a in excellent yield with 99% ee. On the basis of these, the scope of the catalytic enantioselective allylic alkylation of various 3-cyano phthalides 1 with MBH carbonates 2 was examined, and results are shown in Table 1. In general, excellent to high yields and enantioselectivities were observed for a broad range of phthalides and MBH carbonates. For examples, treatment of unsubstituted 3-cyano phthalide ( $R^3$  = H) with a range of MBH carbonates 2 containing aryl moieties provided the desired products 3b-3h in excellent yields with remarkable stereocontrol under optimized reaction conditions, regardless of the substituent pattern and electronic nature on

the aromatic ring  $(R^2)$  of MBH carbonates. 2-Naphthyl substituted MBH carbonate can also react with phthalide to furnish the congested product 3i in 93% yield and 97% ee correspondingly. Notably, the reaction between alkyl substituted MBH carbonate and phthalide proceeded smoothly and gave alkyl substituted product 3j in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity, albeit with diminished diastereoselectivity. In addition, phthalides with various substituents were well tolerated. Both electron-rich and electron-deficient group substituted phthalides provided the desired products 3k-3q in excellent yields and stereoselectivities irrespective of substituent patterns. Disubstituted phthalide can also serve as a suitable substrate to give the desired product 3r with the similar chemical yield and stereoselectivity to that of the monosubstituted analogue. Additionally, the absolute configuration of the product 3f was determined on the basis of X-ray crystal structural analyses.<sup>10</sup>

Next, we directed our efforts to probing the stereoselective SMA triggered tandem reaction, choosing enantioenriched 3allylic phthalide 3a as model substrate. The results are summarized in Table 2. Treatment of chiral phthalide 3a with lithium thiophenolate in situ generated from thiophenol and n-butyllithium at -78 °C in THF provided the desired functionalized dihydroquinones 4a incorporating contiguous quaternary and tertiary stereocenters in excellent yield and enantioselectivity, albeit with moderate diastereoselectivity (Table 2, entry 1).<sup>11</sup> The surveys on solvents indicated that THF was the best solvent with regard to the yield and stereoselectivity (Table 2, entries 2-6). Further reducing the temperature had little influence on this tandem process (Table 2, entry 7), whereas elevating the temperature led to an obvious deterioration in the enantioselectivity of this transformation (Table 2, entry 8). Gratifyingly, the addition of MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O resulted in a significant increase in diastereoselectivity and gave the desired product in 90% yield with excellent enantio- and diastereocontrol (98% ee and d.r. > 19/1) (Table 2, entry 9).<sup>12</sup>

With these optimized reaction conditions, SMA triggered tandem reactions with a variety of enantioenriched allylic phthalides were examined, and the results are depicted in Table 3. Substituents  $(R^2)$  with different electronic nature on the aromatic ring, such as methoxy and halogen, were tolerated, and both substituent pattern and electronic nature  $(R^2)$  on the aromatic ring have few effects on the chemical outcome and enantioselectivity of this transformation. For example, all reactions provided the desired products in good yields with excellent stereoselectivities (4a-4i). With regard to the diastereoselectivities, most of the cases provided the excellent selectivities (4a-4c, 4e-4g), while substrates with electronwithdrawing groups at the para-position on the aromatic core delivered the desired product 4d with decreased diastereoselectivities, presumably due to the susceptibility of the resultant dihydroquinones to racemization at the  $\alpha$ -position. The naphthyl-substituted compound also provided the correspondTable 1. Development of Asymmetric Catalytic Allylic Alkylation To Prepare Functionalized 3-Cyano Phthalide 3<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Performed with 1 (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.24 mmol), and catalyst (10 mol %) in toluene (2.0 mL) at -20 °C. Yields shown are of isolated products. ee values were determined by the three three three termined by the termined by termi

ing product 4i in comparably good yield and enantioselectivity. When a substrate with an alkyl substituent was employed, the desired product 4j was obtained in 64% yield with moderate stereoselectivity. Notably, substrates with ortho-substituent patterns can provide the desired products 4f-4g in excellent yields and stereoselectivities in the absence of a Lewis acid, and the absolute configuration of the product 4f was determined on the basis of X-ray crystal structural analyses.<sup>10</sup> Subsequently, substrates with different substituents  $(R^3)$  on the aromatic ring of phthalides were surveyed. It turned out that the chemical outcome and stereoselectivity rather relied upon the substituent patterns and electronic nature on the aromatic ring of phthalides. Phthalide bearing an electron-donating group at the 4-position provided the desired product 4k in good yield with an excellent es value and remarkable diastereoselectivity, whereas substrates possessing electron-withdrawing groups at the 4-position furnished functionalized dihydronaphthoquinones in good to high yields with excellent enantioselectivities, but moderate diastereoselectivities were obtained (4l-4n). The reactions of 2-methoxyl substituted and 4,5-methoxyl disubstituted phthalides did not give any desired products (4p and 4r), whereas 2-chloro-substituted and 5-chloro-substituted analogues provided products in high yields with high stereoselection (4o and 4q), which indicated that the electronic nature of the substituent may exert more influence on the chemical outcome of this transformation than substituent patterns.

This tandem process is equally applicable to analogues of thiophenolate. For examples, substituted thiophenolates and benzyl thiolate can act as suitable nucleophiles to trigger this tandem reaction, respectively, and provide the desired products (4s-4u) in good yields with high enantioselectivities and moderate to high diastereoselectivities (Table 4, entries 1–3). Organoselenide is a class of important chemical feedstock which can be elaborated in a variety of synthetically transformations. Under the modified reaction conditions, the desired organoselenium compound 4v incorporating a chiral dihydroquinone moiety can be obtained in comparable yield and stereoselectivity to that of the thiolate analogue (Table 4, entry 4), which further extends the application of this tandem

Table 2. Screening of Tandem Reaction for Dihydroquinones  $4a^{a}$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions were performed with **3a** (0.2 mmol) in the mole ratio of **3a**/PhSH/*n*BuLi = 1/1.3/1.2 in solvent (2 mL) at -78 °C. Enantioenriched **3a** was employed (d.r. > 19/1). <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>*d*</sup>es = (product ee/starting material ee) × 100%. ee values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. <sup>*e*</sup>THF/CH<sub>3</sub>CN = 2/1. <sup>*f*</sup>HMPA (4 equiv) was added. <sup>*g*</sup>MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mmol) was added, and the reaction was carried out in the mole ratio of **3a**/PhSH/*n*BuLi = 1/1.5/1.5.

protocol to prepare diverse enantiomerically pure dihydroquinone derivates and has the potential to be further elaborated in a variety of synthetically useful transformations. The synthetic utility of enantioenriched sulfur-incoporated dihydronaphthoquinone was illustrated finally (Scheme 3). The treatment of compound **4a** with *m*-CPBA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> afforded sulfone **5** in 84% yield with almost intact stereoselectivities.<sup>13</sup>

# CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a novel asymmetric synthetic approach for the preparation of enantioenriched functionalized dihydroquinones incorporating adjacent quaternary and tertiary stereocenters first, in which a Lewis base catalyzed AAA reaction and an unprecedented SMA triggered stereoselective ring-expansion reaction were involved. In this novel protocol, enantioenriched 3-allylic phthalides engaged in an SMA triggered tandem reaction, which furnished enantioenriched sulfur-incoporated dihydronaphthoquinones possessing contiguous quaternary and tertiary stereocenters with high stereoselectivty in the presence of MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O. The broad scope and versatility of the process were demonstrated. Further studies on extending this strategy to related processes as well as the synthetic applications of this transformation are underway in our laboratory.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Allylic Alkylation Reaction of MBH Adducts 2 with Phthalides 1. To a dried 10 mL reaction tube under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere were added catalyst (10 mol %), and phthalide 1 (0.2 mmol), and toluene (2.0 mL). After stirring at the -20 °C for 5 min, to the solution was added MBH carbonates 2 (0.24 mmol). The reaction was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether (60–90 °C)) to provide the following compounds.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)-(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3a**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (69 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 98–99 °C. The ee value was determined to be 99% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 15.9 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 19.3 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -66.2$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.93 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.07–3.87 (m, 2H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.2, 165.9, 144.9, 136.2, 136.1, 134.9, 131.4, 130.1, 129.5, 129.1, 128.6, 126.2, 125.1, 123.9, 115.7, 79.4, 61.5, 51.8, 14.1; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 348.1230, found 348.1231.

Ethyl 2-((3-Oxo-1-(phenylthio)-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)-(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Scheme 2, **3aa**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (83 mg, 96% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 118–120 °C (DABCO was used as catalyst). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.83 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 6.98 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 5.99 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 3.90 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.04 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 169.1, 166.5, 149.1, 138.4, 138.2, 136.7, 133.5, 130.1, 129.9, 129.4, 129.8, 128.6, 128.4, 127.8, 126.6, 124.3, 123.9, 98.3, 61.1, 51.1, 14.3; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>4</sub> S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 431.1312, found 431.1299.

*Ethyl* 2-((3-Oxo-1-(*phenylsulfonyl*)-1,3-*dihydroisobenzofuran*-1*yl*)(*phenyl*)*methyl*)*acrylate* (*Scheme* 2, **3ab**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (43 mg, 64% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 118–119 °C (DABCO was used as catalyst). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.86 (d, *J* = 7.8, 1H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.4, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.8, 3H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 7.4, 2H), 7.05–6.93 (m, 3H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 4.31–4.14 (m, 2H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.1, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.8, 166.1, 144.6, 136.6, 136.4, 134.5, 134.4, 134.2, 130.6, 130.2, 129.8, 129.2, 129.2, 128.7, 128.3, 127.5, 126.2, 125.2, 101.7, 61.4, 45.4, 14.1; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 463.1210, found 463.1218.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1yl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3b). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (73 mg, 97% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 97-99 °C. The ee value was determined to be 97% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 39.2 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 24.8 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -56.2 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.95 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (td, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (td, J = 7.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.48 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.01-6.93 (m, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 6.20 (s, 1H),4.83 (s, 1H), 4.05–3.94 (m, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.1 Hz,  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\_3):  $\delta$  167.2, 166.0, 159.7, 145.1, 3H). 136.4, 134.8, 131.3, 130.8, 129.3, 127.8, 126.2, 125.0, 124.0, 115.7, 114.3, 79.6, 61.3, 55.3, 51.3, 14.0; The ee value was 98% (99%es),  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 10.8 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 15.0 min(Chiralpak AD-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{20}NO_5$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 378.1336, found 378.1335.

Ethyl 2-((*S*)-((*S*)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1yl)(*p*-tolyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3c**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (71 mg, 98% yield, d.r. = 17/1) as a white solid, mp: 120–122 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 32.1 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 47.4 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>25</sub><sup>25</sup> = -91.6 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.92 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (td, *J* = 7.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.03–3.91 (m, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.1

Article

Table 3. Scope of Tandem Reactions for Functionalized Dihydroquinones<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions were performed with 3 (0.2 mmol) and MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mmol) in the mole ratio of 3/PhSH/*n*BuLi = 1/1.5/1.5 in THF (2 mL) at -78 °C. Diastereomeric pure 3 were employed (d.r. > 19/1). Yields shown are of isolated products. ee values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. es = (product ee/starting material ee) × 100%. d.r. values were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>*b*</sup>MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.6 mmol) was added. <sup>c</sup>Without addition of MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O. <sup>*d*</sup>3j (d.r. = 2.6/1) was used. <sup>*e*</sup>X-ray structure of compound 4f.

Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.2, 165.9, 145.1, 138.5, 136.2, 134.8, 133.0, 131.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.4, 128.8, 126.1, 125.0, 124.0, 115.7, 79.5, 61.4, 51.5, 21.2, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 362.1387, found 362.1377.

Ethyl 2-((S)-(4-Bromophenyl)((S)-1-cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3d). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (85 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 87–88 °C. The ee value was determined to be 98% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm P}$ (major) = 39.0 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 22.2 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -34.2$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.93 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.02–3.88 (m, 2H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.0, 165.7, 144.6, 135.5, 135.4, 135.1, 132.2, 131.5, 131.1, 130.5, 126.3, 125.0, 123.8, 122.9, 115.4, 79.0, 61.5, 51.1, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{17}BrNO_4$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 426.0335, found 426.0333.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1yl)(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3e). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (75 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 143-144 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 10/90 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 31.1 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 46.3 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -80.8 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.93 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (s, 1H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.04-3.91 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.2, 165.9, 159.9, 144.9, 137.6, 135.9, 134.9, 131.4, 130.2, 130.0, 126.2, 125.0, 124.0, 121.7, 115.6, 115.2, 113.9, 79.3, 61.4, 55.3, 51.7, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{20}NO_5$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 378.1336, found 378,1332

Ethyl 2-((2-Bromophenyl)(1-cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3f**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (84 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white Table 4. Scope of Tandem Reactions<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions were performed with 3a (0.2 mmol) and MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mmol) in the mole ratio of 3a/PhSH/*n*BuLi = 1/1.5/1.5 in THF (2 mL) at -78 °C. Enantioenriched 3a was employed (d.r. > 19/1). <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>*d*</sup>es = (product ee/starting material ee) × 100%. ee values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis.





solid, mp: 109–110 °C. The ee value was determined to be 93% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 45.6 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 55.6 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -133.4$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.08 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.70–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.49 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dd, J = 15.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 3.97–3.87 (m, 2H), 1.06 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.0, 165.1, 144.5, 136.2, 134.9, 134.8, 133.6, 131.7, 131.4, 130.1, 130.0, 128.1, 126.1, 126.0, 124.9, 124.6, 115.5, 78.6, 61.3, 51.1, 13.9; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 426.0335, found 426.0331.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1yl)(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3g). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (64 mg, 89% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 117-118 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 20/80 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 25.6 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 38.2 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -560.0 (c = 0.50, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.95–7.87 (m, 2H), 7.70 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.09 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 6.03 (s, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 3.99-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.4, 165.6, 156.8, 145.2, 136.1, 134.6, 131.1, 130.3, 129.5, 129.0, 125.9, 125.3, 125.1, 124.3, 120.9, 115.8, 110.7, 79.0, 61.0, 55.3, 44.4, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 378.1336, found 378.1335.

Ethyl 2-((R)-((S)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3h**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (83 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 132–133 °C. The ee value was determined to be 99% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 10/90 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 18.4 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 20.2 min).  $[\alpha]_{25}^{\rm 25} = -84.2$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 7.6Hz, 1H), 7.81–7.74 (m, 1H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 5.94 (s, 1H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 3.97–3.85 (m, 2H), 1.06 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.8, 165.0, 144.1, 135.6, 135.1, 135.0, 134.4, 133.6, 132.1, 131.5, 130.6, 130.0, 127.8, 126.1, 124.9, 124.4, 115.4, 78.2, 61.4, 47.9, 13.9; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{16}Cl_2NO_4$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 416.0451, found 416.0436.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)-(naphthalen-2-yl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3i). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (74 mg, 93% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 147-148 °C. The ee value was determined to be 97% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 47.2 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 34.2 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -540.8$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.15 (s, 1H), 7.97–7.84 (m, 4H), 7.71-7.65 (m, 2H), 7.61 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 4.02-3.90 (m, 2H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 167.3, 166.0, 145.0, 136.0, 134.9, 133.7, 133.3, 133.0, 131.4, 130.5, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 127.6, 127.0, 126.7, 126.6, 126.2, 125.1, 124.0, 115.7, 79.3, 61.4, 51.9, 14.0; The ee value was 98% (99% es),  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 10.8 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 15.0 min (Chiralpak AD-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min); HRMS(ESI) calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{20}NO_4$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>) 398.1387, found 398.1360.

*Ethyl* 2-((*S*)-1-*Cyano-6-methyl-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzo-furan-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3k). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (72 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a colorless oil. The ee value was determined to be 93% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes, \lambda = 254 nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min, t\_{\rm R}(major) = 30.1 min, t\_{\rm R}(minor) = 46.7 min). [\alpha]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -218.0 (<i>c* = 0.50, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.36 (m, 4H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 4.03–3.92 (m, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.3, 166.0, 146.6, 145.5, 136.2, 136.0, 132.4, 129.9, 129.5, 128.9, 128.6, 125.9, 124.2, 122.4, 115.8, 79.1, 61.4, 51.8, 22.1, 13.9; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 362.1387, found 362.1385.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-6-fluoro-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 31). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (72 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 78-79 °C. The ee value was determined to be 97% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 34.3 min,  $t_{\rm R}({\rm minor}) = 50.3 {\rm min}$ ).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -140.0 \ (c = 1.00, {\rm CHCl}_3)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.93 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.35 (td, J = 8.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.13-3.92 (m, 2H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 165.9, 165.8, 166.5 (d, J = 260.0 Hz), 147.7 (d, J = 10.2 Hz), 135.8, 135.6, 130.2, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6 (d, J = 10.2 Hz), 121.1 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 119.7 (d, J = 24.0 Hz), 115.1, 111.7 (d, J = 25.7 Hz), 78.7, 61.6, 51.8, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FNO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 366.1136, found 366.1133.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-6-Chloro-1-cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3m**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (76 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 111–112 °C. The ee value was determined to be 94% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 29.6 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 48.1 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -69.4 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.85 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.12–3.94 (m, 2H), 1.14 (t, J= 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.0, 165.8, 146.4, 141.8, 135.8, 135.7, 132.1, 130.3, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 127.2, 124.5,

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

123.4, 115.1, 78.8, 61.6, 51.8, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{17}CINO_4$  ( $[M + H]^+$ ): 382.0841, found 382.0833.

*Ethyl* 2-((*S*)-6-*Bromo-1-cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzo-furan-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3n*). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (83 mg, 98% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 112–123 °C. The ee value was determined to be 99% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 25.9 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 42.3 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>25</sub><sup>D5</sup> = -64.4 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.78 (s, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.37 (m, 4H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.14–3.92 (m, 2H), 1.14 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.2, 165.8, 146.4, 135.8, 135.7, 135.0, 130.3, 130.2, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 127.5, 127.3, 123.9, 120.0, 115.1, 78.7, 61.6, 51.9, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. For C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 426.0335, found 426.0337.

*Ethyl* 2-((*S*)-((*S*)-4-*Chloro-1-cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzo-furan-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate* (*Table 1, 30*). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (72 mg, 94% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 119–120 °C. The ee value was determined to be 94% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min, *t*<sub>R</sub>(major) = 17.1 min, *t*<sub>R</sub>(minor) = 26.9 min). [*α*]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -267.0 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.66–7.54 (m, 4H), 7.46–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.06–3.92 (m, 2H), 1.11 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 165.8, 164.1, 147.0, 135.9, 135.8, 135.8, 133.9, 132.7, 130.4, 129.4, 129.1, 128.7, 122.4, 121.8, 115.2, 78.2, 61.5, 51.7, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClNO<sub>4</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 382.0841, found 382.0844.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-4-methoxy-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3p**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (76 mg, 99% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 101–102 °C. The ee value was determined to be 98% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 20/80 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 32.9 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 37.4 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -27.6$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.64 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 6.9Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 7.6Hz, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 4.94 (s, 1H), 4.07–3.94 (m, 5H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  165.9, 165.2, 158.7, 147.3, 137.2, 136.4, 135.9, 130.2, 129.4, 128.9, 128.5, 115.7, 115.3, 112.9, 112.4, 78.5, 61.4, 56.3, 51.6, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{20}NO_5$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 378.1336, found 378.1332.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-7-Chloro-1-cyano-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, 3q). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (71 mg, 93% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 107-108 °C. The ee value was determined to be 93% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/ hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 27.1 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 66.9 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -154.6 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.84 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H), 5.89 (s, 1H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 3.89 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.1, 165.5, 141.0, 137.0, 136.0, 135.1, 133.1, 133.0, 130.8, 129.1, 128.4, 127.9, 124.5, 113.5, 79.0, 61.4, 48.6, 14.0; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{17}CINO_4$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 382.0841, found 382.0841.

Ethyl 2-((S)-((S)-1-Cyano-6,7-dimethoxy-3-oxo-1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran-1-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate (Table 1, **3r**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/ Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (72 mg, 90% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 79–80 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/ min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 33.5 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 37.5 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -134.8$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.68 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (s, 1H), 5.81 (s, 1H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 4.15 (s, 3H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.96-3.85 (m, 2H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.0, 165.7, 157.8, 144.0, 137.7, 137.2, 135.8, 131.8, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 121.7, 118.0, 115.9, 115.6, 77.7, 61.2, 61.0, 56.6, 49.6, 13.9; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 408.1442, found 408.1444.

General Procedure of Tandem Reaction for Functionalized Dihydroquinones 4. To a solution of the MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.4 mmol) and PhSH (0.3 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added *n*BuLi (0.3 mmol) at -78 °C. After stirring at -78 °C for 20 min, compound 3 (0.2 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the mixture was poured into saturated ammonium chloride (10 mL) and then extracted with ethyl acetate (4 × 20 mL). Combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/ Petroleum ether (60–90 °C)) to provide the desired product 4.

Ethvl (2R,3S)-1,4-Dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4a). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (77 mg, 90% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 112-114 °C. The ee value was determined to be 98% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}({\rm major}) = 48.9 {\rm min}, t_{\rm R}({\rm minor}) = 60.9 {\rm min}). [\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -253 (c = 1.00, c = 1.00)$ CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.17 (dd, J = 5.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 5.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.76 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.16 (m, 6H), 7.08 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (s, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.91-3.85 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.09 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 192.7, 192.6, 168.5, 135.0, 135.0, 134.8, 134.5, 134.4, 133.6, 131.0, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.2, 127.9, 127.1, 126.9, 65.5, 62.6, 59.1, 35.9, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 431.1312, found 431.1309.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4b). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (74 mg, 80% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 70–72  $^{\circ}$ C. The ee value was determined to be 96% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 56.9 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 72.2 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -291.6 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.18–8.11 (m, 1H), 8.07-8.01 (m, 1H), 7.81-7.73 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.14 (m, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.81 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.73–3.68 (m, 1H), 3.09 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.9, 192.8, 168.5, 159.3, 135.1, 134.9, 134.8, 134.5, 134.4, 130.9, 130.3, 128.8, 127.9, 127.1, 126.9, 125.5, 114.6, 65.6, 62.5, 58.3, 55.2, 35.9, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 461.1417, found 461.1417.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-1,4-Dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-3-(p-tolyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4c). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/ Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (75 mg, 84% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 91–92 °C. The ee value was determined to be 91% (95% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 39.6 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 42.9 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -280.8 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.18–8.10 (m, 1H), 8.08–8.02 (m, 1H), 7.83–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.19–7.13 (m, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.88–3.82 (m, 1H), 3.71–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.08 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.8, 192.7, 168.5, 138.0, 135.1, 134.9, 134.5, 134.3, 130.9, 130.5, 129.9, 129.0, 128.8, 127.9, 127.1, 126.8, 65.5, 62.5, 58.8, 35.9, 21.0, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{27}H_{25}O_4S\ ([M+H]^+):$  445.1468, found 445.1456.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4d). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (91 mg, 90% yield, d.r. = 10/1) as a white solid, mp: 102-104 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% (98% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 60.2 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 65.3 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -268.4 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.19–8.09 (m, 1H), 8.09–7.98 (m, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.20 (m, 3H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.89-3.81 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.07 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 192.4, 192.0, 168.3, 135.1, 134.7, 134.6, 134.5, 134.3, 132.6, 132.3, 131.0, 130.8, 128.9, 127.9, 127.2, 127.0, 122.4, 65.4, 62.7, 58.4, 35.8, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>BrO<sub>4</sub>S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 509.0417, found 509.0414.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-3-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4e). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (75 mg, 81% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 103-105 °C. The ee value was determined to be 95% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 63.4 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 77.3 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -258.6 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.18-8.12 (m, 1H), 8.08-8.01 (m, 1H), 7.81-7.72 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.21 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.87-3.81 (m, 1H), 3.70-3.63 (m, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.09 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (t, J= 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.5, 192.4, 168.4, 159.9, 135.1, 135.0, 134.9, 134.8, 134.5, 134.4, 130.9, 130.1, 128.8, 127.9, 127.1, 126.8, 120.9, 115.3, 113.7, 65.5, 62.6, 59.1, 55.1, 35.9, 13.4; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 461.1417, found 461.1411.

Ethyl (2R,3R)-3-(2-Bromophenyl)-1,4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4f). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (98 mg, 96% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 83-84 °C. The ee value was determined to be 92% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 24.3 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 36.3 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -282.8 (*c* = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14–8.10 (m, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 5.6, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.66 (dd, J = 5.9, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.20 (dd, J = 10.1, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.17–7.12 (m, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 5.9, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 6.90–6.78 (m, 1H), 5.86 (s, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.90-3.83 (m, 1H), 3.78–3.72 (m, 1H), 3.18 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 192.9, 191.5, 168.4, 135.4, 135.1, 134.7, 134.5, 134.4, 134.3, 133.2, 130.5, 129.5, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.38 127.2, 126.7, 65.1, 62.7, 57.2, 36.1, 13.4; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>BrO<sub>4</sub>S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 509.0417, found 509.0407.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1,4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, **4g**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (84 mg, 91% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 66–68 °C. The ee value was determined to be 95% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 48.0 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 72.2 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -316.8 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.09 (dd, J= 5.7, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (dd, J = 5.5, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.25–7.17 (m, 3H), 7.14 (t, J = 7.2Hz, 1H), 6.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (s, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.84–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.61–3.55 (m, 1H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 2.95 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 0.80 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 194.0, 191.8, 169.2, 156.7, 135.8, 135.1, 134.9, 133.8, 133.6, 130.7, 129.7, 128.7, 127.2, 126.6, 126.0, 123.6, 121.1, 110.8, 64.1, 62.3, 53.8, 36.23 29.7, 13.4; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{27}H_{25}O_5S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 461.1417, found 461.1417.

Ethyl (2R.3R)-3-(2.4-Dichlorophenyl)-1.4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4h). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (98 mg, 98% yield, d.r. = 13/1) as a colorless oil. The ee value was determined to be 98% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 29.9 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 34.9 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -294.0 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.14-8.09 (m, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 6.0, 1H), 7.77 (dd, J = 9.1, 5.7, 2H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.6, 2H), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.3, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.1, 1H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 7.8, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 5.77 (s, 1H), 3.92 (d, J = 13.8, 1H), 3.90-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.83-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.17 (d, J = 13.8, 1H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.0, 3H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.7, 191.2, 168.3, 135.2, 135.0, 134.6, 134.2, 134.1, 130.9, 130.5, 129.8, 128.8, 128.7, 127.9, 127.3, 127.2, 126.8, 126.5, 64.9, 62.8, 53.8, 36.1, 13.4; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{21}Cl_2O_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 499.0532, found 499.0534.

Ethyl (2S,3R)-1,4-Dioxo-3-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-[2,2'-binaphthalene]-3-carboxylate (Table 3, 4i). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (87 mg, 90% yield, d.r. = 13/1) as a white solid, mp: 62-63 °C. The ee value was determined to be 95% (98% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/ min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 53.4 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 62.7 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -319.0 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  8.18–8.13 (m, 1H), 8.13-8.07 (m, 1H), 7.81-7.76 (m, 2H), 7.74 (dd, J = 6.1, 3.0, 1H), 7.69-7.62 (m, 2H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.31-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.09 (m, 4H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 13.8, 1H), 3.89 (dq, J = 10.7, 7.1, 1H), 3.73 (dq, J = 10.8, 7.1, 1H), 3.13 (d, J = 13.8, 1H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.1, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.7, 192.5, 168.5, 135.0, 134.9, 134.8, 134.5, 134.5, 133.3, 132.7, 131.0, 129.1, 129.0, 128.8, 128.1, 127.9, 127.5, 127.2, 126.9, 126.5, 126.4, 126.2, 65.7, 62.6, 59.2, 36.0, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>4</sub> S  $([M + H]^{+})$ : 481.1468, found 481.1461.

Ethyl 3-Methyl-1,4-dioxo-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4j). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (47 mg, 64% yield, d.r. = 5.8/1) as a white solid, mp: 73-75 °C. The ee value was determined to be 76% (76% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/ min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 39.6 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 42.9 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -105.2$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (td, J = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (td, J = 7.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.14 (m, 3H), 3.99-3.76 (m, 4H), 3.55 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.16 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  196.5, 193.1, 169.1, 134.9, 134.8, 134.0, 133.6, 133.5, 130.8, 128.9, 127.0, 65.5, 62.4, 47.2, 35.0, 13.6, 13.1; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{21}O_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 369.1155, found 369.1162.

Ethyl (2*R*,35)-6-Methyl-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, **4k**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (81 mg, 91% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 96–97 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% (97% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_R(major) = 41.1$  min,  $t_R(minor) = 65.7$  min).  $[\alpha]_{D^5}^{25} =$ -335.2 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.97 (d, J= 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.3Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.12 (m, 6H), 7.05 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.82 (m, 1H), 3.72–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.05 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.9, 192.3, 168.6, 146.4, 135.3, 135.1, 134.7, 133.7, 132.2, 131.0, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.1, 128.1, 127.3,

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

126.8, 65.5, 62.5, 59.2, 35.9, 21.9, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{27}H_{25}O_4S$  ( $[M + H]^+$ ): 445.1468, found 445. 1468.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-6-Fluoro-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 41). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (57 mg, 64% yield, d.r. = 8/1) as a white solid, mp: 119-121 °C. The ee value was determined to be 98% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, 5/95 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 24.3 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 26.8 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -247.0 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.10 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (td, J = 8.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.14 (m, 6H), 7.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 3.92 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.90–3.85 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.08 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  191.4, 191.2, 168.5, 166.7 (d, J = 259.7 Hz), 137.8 (d, J = 7.2 Hz), 134.8, 133.2, 131.0, 130.5 (d, J = 9.0 Hz), 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 127.0, 121.8 (d, J = 21.8 Hz), 114.3 (d, J = 23.0 Hz), 65.6, 62.7, 59.0, 35.8, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{22}FO_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 449.1217, found 449.1217

Ethyl (2R,3S)-6-Chloro-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4m). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (76 mg, 82% yield, d.r. = 5/1) as a white solid, mp: 128-129 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% (98% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 28.7 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 41.8 min).  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -289.0 \ (c = 1.00, \text{ CHCl}_{3}).$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.09 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.14 (m, 6H), 7.03 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 3.92 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.84 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.68 (m, 1H), 3.07 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\_3):  $\delta$  191.6, 191.3, 168.4, 142.0, 136.1, 134.8, 134.5, 133.1, 132.5, 131.0, 130.6, 130.3, 129.7, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 127.8, 127.0, 65.7, 62.8, 59.1, 35.8, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{22}ClO_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 465.0922, found 465.0922.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-6-Bromo-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4n). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (96 mg, 94% yield, d.r. = 7/1) as a white solid, mp: 122-123 °C. The ee value was determined to be 98% (99% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}({\rm major}) = 32.6$  min,  $t_{\rm R}({\rm minor}) = 53.6$  min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} =$ -219.0 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.26 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.14 (m, 6H), 7.06-6.99 (m, 2H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.89-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.74-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.07 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 191.8, 191.3, 168.3, 137.5, 135.9, 134.8, 133.1, 132.9, 131.0, 130.8, 130.7, 130.6, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 127.0, 65.7, 62.8, 59.1, 35.8, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{22}BrO_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 509.0417, found 509.0419.

Ethyl (2R,35)-8-Chloro-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, **40**). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (83 mg, 89% yield, d.r. = 14/1) as a white solid, mp: 100–101 °C. The ee value was determined to be 90% (96% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_R(major) = 47.6$  min,  $t_R(minor) = 64.1$  min).  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} =$ -400.7 (c = 0.90, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.11 (d, J= 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 5H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 5.08 (s, 1H), 3.86–3.80 (m, 1H), 3.74 (d, J = 13.9Hz, 1H), 3,50–3.44 (m, 1H), 3.00 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.1Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.0, 190.9, 168.6, 137.0, 136.9, 134.7, 134.1, 133.7, 133.5, 132.5, 131.3, 130.5, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.4, 127.1, 126.9, 65.6, 62.4, 58.4, 35.4, 13.4; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{22}ClO_4$  S ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 465.0922, found 465.0922.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-5-Chloro-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 3, 4q). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (84 mg, 90% yield, d.r. = 14/1) as a white solid, mp: 100-101 °C. The ee value was determined to be 87% (94% es) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 51.4 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 54.5 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  = -206.2 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.02 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.25-7.14 (m, 6H), 7.06 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 3.90-3.84 (m, 2H), 3.77-3.71 (m, 1H), 3.06 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 0.93 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.0, 190.4, 168.1, 138.4, 137.1, 135.6, 134.9, 133.9, 133.2, 131.0, 130.8, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.3, 127.0, 126.6, 65.2, 62.7, 60.1, 36.0, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{22}ClO_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 465.0922, found 465.0922

Ethyl (2R,3S)-2-(((4-Methoxyphenyl)thio)methyl)-1,4-dioxo-3phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 4, 4s). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (87 mg, 94% yield, d.r. = 10/1) as a white solid, mp: 89–90 °C. The ee value was determined to be 92% (96% es, starting material 3a (ee = 96%) was employed) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/ min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 24.7 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 27.5 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -242.6 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14 (dd, J = 5.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 5.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 7.05 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 3.92-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.82 (d, J = 13.9Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.74–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.00 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.8, 192.7, 168.5, 159.3, 134.9, 134.8, 134.5, 134.3, 134.1, 133.7, 129.2, 129.1, 128.1, 127.9, 127.1, 125.3, 114.4, 65.6, 62.5, 59.1, 55.3, 37.4, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{27}H_{25}O_5 S ([M + H]^+)$ : 461.1417, found 461.1415.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-2-(((2-Bromophenyl)thio)methyl)-1,4-dioxo-3-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 4, 4t). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/ Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (65 mg, 63% yield, d.r. = 4.5/1) as a colorless oil. The ee value was determined to be 93% (97% es, starting material 3a (ee = 96%) was employed) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$ nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 42.2 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 70.0 min).  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  = -223.4 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.17–8.14 (m, 1H), 8.10–8.07 (m, 1H), 7.81–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 4H), 7.10-7.01 (m, 3H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.94–3.88 (m, 1H), 3.87–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.04 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.4, 168.4, 136.1, 135.0, 134.8, 134.5, 134.4, 133.5, 133.1, 131.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 127.2, 125.8, 65.4, 62.8, 59.3, 35.1, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{22}BrO_4S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 509.0417, found 509.0411.

Ethyl (2R,35)-2-((Benzylthio)methyl)-1,4-dioxo-2-phenyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 4, 4u). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (74 mg, 83% yield, d.r. = 7.5/1) as a white solid, mp: 48–49 °C. The ee value was determined to be 92% (96% es, starting material **3a** (ee = 96%) was employed) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda$  = 254 nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 31.4 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 35.0 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>25</sub><sup>25</sup> = -213.2 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.16–8.12 (m, 1H), 8.10–8.05 (m, 1H), 7.77 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.18 (m, 6H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.11–4.02 (m, 2H), 3.58 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.04 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  192.7, 192.6, 168.9, 137.5, 135.0, 134.9, 134.6, 134.4, 133.7, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.8, 127.2, 127.1, 65.6, 62.8, 59.3, 37.5, 32.6, 13.7; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $\rm C_{27}H_{25}O_4S~([M+H]^+):$  445.1468, found 445.1461.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-1,4-Dioxo-3-phenyl-2-((phenylselanyl)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Table 4, 4v). The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/ Petroleum ether) to afford the title compound (62 mg, 64% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 116-117 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% (98% es, starting material 3a (ee = 98%) was employed) by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 10/90 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/ min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 36.3 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 46.5 min).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = -279.6$  (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.17-8.10 (m, 1H), 8.09-8.03 (m, 1H), 7.80-7.73 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.14 (m, 6H), 7.02 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 3.87-3.80 (m, 2H), 3.64–3.58 (m, 1H), 3.00 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 193.1, 192.6, 168.6, 134.9, 134.8, 134.7, 134.4, 133.7, 133.6, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 127.1, 65.6, 62.5, 60.1, 29.4, 13.4; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{23}O_4Se$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 479.0756, found 479.0758.

Ethyl (2R,3S)-1,4-Dioxo-3-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfonylmethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (Scheme 3, 5). To a stirred solution of 4a (0.2 mmol) in DCM (2 mL) was added m-CPBA (0.44 mmol, 75.9 mg) portionwise at 0 °C for 15 min.<sup>14</sup> Reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min, then warmed to room temperature, and stirred for 5 h. After completion, the reaction was diluted with DCM (8 mL) and washed with 5% aqueous K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (8 mL) and 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) solution. Then, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 10$  mL), the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Petroleum ether) to provide compound 5 (77 mg, 84% yield, d.r. > 19/1) as a white solid, mp: 151-152 °C. The ee value was determined to be 96% by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, 20/80 isopropanol/hexanes,  $\lambda = 254$  nm at 30 °C, flow rate = 0.5 mL/ min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (major) = 49.0 min,  $t_{\rm R}$ (minor) = 83.3 min). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -189.0 (c = 1.00, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.17-8.11 (m, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 9.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 7.82-7.74 (m, 4H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 3H), 7.10 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (dq, J = 10.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dq, J = 10.8, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  191.4, 190.7, 167.3, 140.4, 135.4, 134.5, 134.2, 133.9, 133.8, 133.3, 130.2, 129.9, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 128.3, 128.0, 127.7, 127.4, 63.4, 62.9, 59.3, 55.8, 13.5; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{23}O_6S$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>): 463.1210, found 463.1209.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

NMR and HPLC spectra of products **3ab**, **3ab**, **3a**–**3i**, **3k**–**3r**, **4a**–**4o**, **4q**, **4s**–**4v**, and **5**, as well as X-ray structures of compound **3f** and compound **4f**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: wliao@jlu.edu.cn (W.-W.L.).

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.-W.L. thanks NSFC (No. 21372096) Open Project of State Key Laboratory for Supramolecular Structure and Materials (sklssm2015013) for financial support. We also thank Mr. Shi-Qiang Zhang and Ms. Ting-Ting Wang for the MS analytical support.

# REFERENCES

(1) For examples of the natural products containing a dihydronaphthoquinone structural motif, see: (a) Opatz, T.; Kolshorn, H.; Thines, E.; Anke, H. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1973. (b) Gu, J. Q.; Graf, T. N.; Lee, D.; Chai, H. B.; Mi, Q.; Kardono, L. B. S.; Setyowati, F. M.; Ismail, R.; Riswan, S.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Cordell, G. A.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Swanson, S. M.; Kroll, D. J.; Falkinham, J. O., III; Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C.; Kinghorn, A. D.; Oberlies, N. H. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1156. (c) Ernst-Russel, M.; Elix, J.; Chai, C.; Willis, A.; Hamada, N.; Nash, T., III Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6321. (d) Brimble, M. A.; Hassan, N. P. S.; Naysmith, B. J.; Sperry, J. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7169. For a dihydronaphthoquinone framework bearing adjacent stereocenters, see: (e) Takeya, T.; Kajiyama, M.; Nakamura, C.; Tobinga, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1998, 46, 1660. (f) Ng, W.; Wege, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6797. (g) Bernhardt, P.; Okino, T.; Winter, J. M.; Miyanaga, A.; Moore, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4268. (h) Kaysser, L.; Bernhardt, P.; Nam, S. J.; Loesgen, S.; Ruby, J. G.; Skewes-Cox, P.; Jensen, P. R.; Fenical, W.; Moore, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11988.

(2) For leading books, see: (a) Toru, T., Bolm, C., Eds. Organosulfur Chemistry in Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008. (b) Bichler, P.; Love, J. A. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2010, 31, 39. For selected reviews, see: (c) Sibi, M.; Manyem, S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8033. (d) Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T.-A. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3205. (e) Postigo, A. RSC Adv. 2011, 1, 14. (f) Chauhan, P.; Mahajan, S.; Enders, D. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 8807.

(3) For a review, see: (a) Enders, D.; Luettgen, K.; Narine, A. Synthesis 2007, 959. For selected examples, see: (b) Ono, M.; Nishimura, K.; Nagaoka, Y.; Tomioka, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6979. (c) Ono, M.; Nishimura, K.; Tsubouchi, H.; Nagaoka, Y.; Tomioka, K. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8199. (d) Gao, Y.; Ren, Q.; Wu, H.; Li, M.; Wang, J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 9232. (e) Meninno, S.; Croce, G.; Lattanzi, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3436. (f) Zu, L.; Wang, J.; Li, H.; Xie, H.; Jiang, W.; Wang, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1036. (g) Zu, L.; Xie, H.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Jiang, W.; Wang, W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1882. (h) Dong, X.-Q.; Fang, X.; Tao, H.-Y.; Zhou, X.; Wang, C.-J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7238. (i) Dodda, R.; Goldman, J. J.; Mandal, T.; Zhao, C.-G.; Broker, G. A.; Tiekink, E. R. T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 537. (j) Wu, L.; Wang, Y.; Song, H.; Tang, L.; Zhou, Z.; Tang, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1053. (k) Rios, R.; Sundén, H.; Ibrahem, I.; Zhao, G.-L.; Córdova, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8679. (l) Zhao, G.-L.; Vesely, J.; Rios, R.; Ibrahem, I.; Sundén, H.; Córdova, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 237. (m) Baricordi, N.; Benetti, S.; De Risi, C.; Fogagnolo, M.; Pollini, G. P.; Zanirato, V. Lett. Org. Chem. 2009, 6, 593. (n) Brandau, S.; Maerten, E.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14986. (4) Karmakar, R.; Pahari, P.; Mal, D. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 6213.

(5) (a) Rathwell, K.; Brimble, M. A. Synthesis 2007, 643. (b) Mal, D.; Pahari, P. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1892.

(6) Zhuang, Z.; Hu, Z.-P.; Liao, W.-W. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3380. Herein, enantioenriched 3-allylic-3-cyano substituted phthalides (R = H, alkyl) can undergo intramolecular acylcyanation to provide densely functionalized nitriles bearing dihydronaphthoquinone moieties in the presence of a catalytic amount of TBACN (tetrabutylammonium cyanide). However, phthalide bearing an aryl substituent (R<sup>2</sup> = aryl) did not deliver the desired product **4** under the optimal reaction conditions.



(7) For reviews on Lewis base catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation reactions, see: (a) Liu, T.-Y.; Xie, M.; Chen, Y.-C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4101. (b) Rios, R. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 267. (c) Wei, Y.; Shi, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 6659.

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(8) Zhong, F.-R.; Luo, J.; Chen, G.-Y.; Dou, X.-W.; Lu, Y.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10222.

(9) In our previous work (ref 6), Lewis base catalyzed asymmetric AAA reactions of substituted MBH carbonates  $2 (R^2 = alkyl)$  and 3-cyano phthalide 1 provided substituted phthalides 3 with high enantioselectivities, but low diastereoselectivities.

(10) See the Supporting Information for X-ray structures. CCDC 1041921 (3f) and 1041922 (4f) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

(11) For the definition of es value (es = [ee of product/ee of starting material]  $\times$  100%) of the reaction, please see: (a) Denmark, S. E.; Vogler, T. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 11737. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Burk, M. T.; Hoover, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 1232.

(12) The explicit mechanism of magnesium cation improved selectivity in this reaction remains unclear at this stage. However, we assume that the magnesium cation should coordinate favorably to both the oxygen atom of the lactone and the enolate units of intermediate I-A to fix a chelating structure that may contribute the present high diastereoselectivity in this tandem process.



For the similar mechanisms on sulfa-Michael addition/ nucleophilic addition tandem reactions, please see: (a) Kamimura, A.; Mitsudera, H.; Omata, Y.; Matsuura, K.; Shiraib, M.; Kakehi, A. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 9817. (b) Kamimura, A.; Okawa, H.; Morisaki, Y.; Ishikawa, S.; Uno, H. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, *72*, 3569. (c) Yang, X.-F.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 4431.

(13) For selected reviews on biologically important molecules containing sulfone motifs and synthetic utility of sulfone, please see: (a) Back, T. G. *Can. J. Chem.* **2009**, *87*, 1657. (b) Chen, X.; Hussain, S.; Parveen, S.; Zhang, S.; Yang, Y.; Zhu, C. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *19*, 3578. (c) Meadows, D. C.; Gervay-Hague, J. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2006**, *26*, 793. (d) Zajc, B.; Kumar, R. *Synthesis* **2010**, *11*, 1822.

(14) Konduru, N. K.; Dey, S.; Sajid, M.; Owais, M.; Ahmed, N. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *134*, 23.